University of Miami Law Review

Volume 49 Number 1 Selection and Retention of Judges: Is Florida's Present System Still the Best Compromise?

Article 2

10-1-1994

Editor's Note

Follow this and additional works at: https://repository.law.miami.edu/umlr

Recommended Citation

Editor's Note, 49 U. Miami L. Rev. Iss. 1 (1994) Available at: https://repository.law.miami.edu/umlr/vol49/iss1/2

This Prefatory Matter is brought to you for free and open access by the Journals at University of Miami School of Law Institutional Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in University of Miami Law Review by an authorized editor of University of Miami School of Law Institutional Repository. For more information, please contact library@law.miami.edu.

EDITOR'S NOTE

On June 22, 1994, the Judicial Administration, Selection and Tenure Committee of The Florida Bar and The Florida Bar Foundation sponsored a symposium entitled, Selection and Retention of Judges: Is Florida's Present System Still the Best Compromise? We are pleased to have adapted the five featured articles in this issue from presentations given at that symposium, in the hopes of contributing to the debate regarding the reform of Florida's judicial selection process.

The Judicial Administration, Selection and Tenure Committee would like to acknowledge the generous contributions to this symposium by:

Akerman, Senterfitt & Edison Beggs & Lane

Carlton Fields Ward Emmanuel Smith & Cutler, P.A. Clark Partington Hart Larry Bond Stackhouse & Stone Greenberg Traurig

Kenny, Nachwalter, Seymour, Arnold, Critchlow & Spector Levin, Middlebrooks, Mabie, Thomas, Mayes & Mitchell, P.A. Podhurst, Orseck, Josefsberg, Eaton, Meadow, Olin & Perwin, P.A. Shutts & Bowen

Tew & Garcia-Pedrosa
Thomson, Muraro, Razook & Hart, P.A.