THE EDITOR'S PAGE

This issue brings to a close the Seventh Survey of Florida Law. In many respects a Survey issue is easier, but in innumerable ways far more difficult and frustrating than a regular issue of the Review. On the whole, a sincere effort was made to synthesize two or four years of judicial and legislative effort into a compact and constructive critique. To those who have participated, the Review hereby acknowledges its gratitude. One further comment in this regard may be called for: Some sort of feedback from the Bar, as to the relative values of the biennial effort, including possible suggestions for the future would be especially appreciated.

This issue has a second significance. Holding our breath while we mutter the incantation, we have just about caught up with a publication schedule which, only last summer, found us over a full year behind. We trust that the quality of the publication has in no way suffered from the conscious effort to publish on time. Certainly, the next issue, number four, is intended to prove up the quality in all respects. Conceived last summer, the issue will be almost entirely devoted to the examination of particular Florida constitutional provisions (e.g., taxation, bonding) as well as a comprehensive evaluation and analysis of the essential Florida constitutional limitations (e.g., due process, equal protection, the public purpose doctrine). In addition, attention will be devoted to two of the more complicated areas in our law, subrogation and usury. We have been awaiting its publication for some time; hopefully not in vain.

Finally, this issue marks the end of the first academic year under a new Dean, Frederick D. Lewis, Jr. The year has been a highly fruitful one in that regard. It is expected that future years will be even better. For those of us whose diplomas have been the first to bear his signature, the experience has been one full of pride and promise.
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